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Abstract—An ultrasonic shear wave imaging technique is being developed for estimating the complex shear modulus of biphasic hydrogels including soft biological tissues. A needle placed in the medium is vibrated along its axis to generate harmonic shear waves. Doppler pulses synchronously track particle motion to estimate shear wave propagation speed. Velocity estimation is improved by implementing a k-lag phase estimator. Fitting shear-wave speed estimates to the predicted dispersion relation curves obtained from two rheological models, we estimate the elastic and viscous components of the complex shear modulus. The dispersion equation estimated using the standard linear solid-body (Zener) model is compared with that from the Kelvin-Voigt model to estimate moduli in gelatin gels in the 50 to 450 Hz shear wave frequency bandwidth. Both models give comparable estimates that agree with independent shear rheometer measurements obtained at lower strain rates.

I. INTRODUCTION

THREE-DIMENSIONAL hydrated collagen and agarose scaffolds are now standard media for exploring cellular mechanobiology [1]–[3]. Embedded cells respond to their mechanical environment as provided by the support matrix, which in breast tissue determines many cell functions from normal homeostatic behavior through malignant cell progression, tumor growth, and metastasis. Consequently, deformation patterns of these fluidic polymers reveal essential properties of the mechano-environment that regulate mammary cell behavior. Three-dimensional cell cultures are ideal media for discovering biological sources of elasticity image contrast that can be related back to the cellular biology of the underlying disease process. We are developing verifiable techniques for measuring viscoelastic properties of 3-D cell culture gels under sterile conditions and over an extended applied-force bandwidth.

During the last decade, several shear-wave estimation techniques have emerged as tools for measuring mechanical moduli of biological tissues [4]–[12]. These dynamic techniques apply an acoustic radiation force or contact vibrator to generate shear waves in the medium that are imaged by phase-sensitive medical imaging methods, e.g., ultrasonic, magnetic resonance (MR), or optical. We describe an ultrasonic Doppler technique that maps shear wave energy generated by a vibrating needle at frequencies between 50 and 450 Hz to estimate viscoelastic parameters. It builds on a growing shear-wave imaging literature for estimating the regional elastic modulus [13], [14].

A mechanical actuator harmonically drives a stainless steel needle placed in the medium to generate narrow-band cylindrical shear waves. Shear waves are imaged in a radial plane using a multi-lag phase estimator, which leverages the narrow-band wave feature to extend standard pulse-pair (lag-one) processing for reduced velocity variance. Performance of the multi-lag phase estimator is evaluated experimentally and through simulation. We use a phase-gradient technique to estimate shear wave speed from estimated particle velocities at each frequency, and we fit those results to rheological model predictions relating shear wave dispersion to the complex modulus of the medium. Thus, we obtain spatially-averaged modulus estimates for hydrogel media that can be independently verified to assess accuracy and precision.

II. METHODS

The aim of the proposed method is to accurately measure the complex shear modulus of soft biological media. These initial studies measure properties of collagenous hydrogels that share key structural and mechanical features of natural and engineered breast tissues [15].

A. Temporal Phase and Velocity Estimation

The shear wave imaging experiment is depicted in Fig. 1. A mechanical actuator (SF-9324, PASCO Scientific, Roseville, CA) was adapted to hold a stainless-steel needle. The needle is 1.5 mm in diameter (17 gauge) and 13 cm long. The actuator is driven by an arbitrary waveform generator transmitting 500 ms pure-tone voltage bursts in the frequency range of 50 to 450 Hz. The voltage amplitude ranged from 5 to 15 V. The needle vibrates along the z-axis, thus generating cylindrical shear waves that propagate radially for several millimeters. Harmonic shear waves are tracked with a linear-array transducer (BW-14/60, SonixRP, Ultrasonix Medical Corp., Richmond, BC, Canada). The axis of the vibrating needle is oriented \(\theta = 35 \pm 5^\circ\) from the Doppler beam axis.

The ultrasound system was used to estimate particle velocity via pulsed Doppler methods. A linear array transducer driven by 6-cycle, 6.67-MHz voltage pulses gen-
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generated echo waveforms with a center frequency of \( f_c = 6 \) MHz. Doppler pulse transmission was synchronous with actuator excitation. At each lateral position \( x \), up to 3000 Doppler pulses were emitted at a rate of 10 kHz so the total acquisition time was \( T_s \leq 300 \) ms. The beam-axis position was shifted laterally 0.46 mm, one array element, after each packet transmission, except near the field edges where the beam was electronically steered. The lateral beam increment was verified using a phantom (ATS Laboratories, model 539). 128 a-lines were recorded per RF frame with beam interpolation turned off. RF echo waveforms were sampled at 40 Msamples/s (fast time) and internally downsampled to 20 Msamples/s.

Typical Doppler velocity estimation is based on pulse-pair phase-shift estimation measurements using lag-one autocorrelation [16]. Acquisition time is divided into \( M' = 500 \) records of 0.6 ms, the temporal-phase sampling interval, where \( 1 \leq m' \leq M' \). Within each record, there is an ensemble of six echoes from \( M = 6 \) pulse transmissions. The index \( 1 \leq m \leq M \) counts the echo waveforms in “slow time” sampled on the interval \( T_{prf} = 0.1 \) ms within the ensemble. The analytic signal of the echo waveform \( V \) and its complex conjugate \( V^* \) were entered into the lag-one correlation estimator of temporal phase:

\[
\hat{\phi}(\ell, n', m') = \frac{1}{M - 1} \sum_{m'' = M(m-1)+1}^{M(m'-1)+M-1} V^*[\ell, n, m'']V[\ell, n, m'' + 1].
\]  

(1)

The process was repeated for each of \( 1 \leq n \leq N \) echo range samples in the A-line range and for the \( 1 \leq \ell \leq L \) A-lines at lateral indices along the \( x \)-axis of the array. Phase estimates are approximately constant with range, and therefore values are averaged spatially over 10 range samples using a running mean:

\[
\bar{\phi}(\ell, n', m') = \frac{\sum_{n'' = n'}^{n'+10} \hat{\phi}(\ell, n'', m')}{10} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n' \leq N'.
\]

From these data, we estimate the instantaneous particle velocity \( \hat{v} \) as a function of time and space for each frame of RF data (see Fig. 2) using [17], [18]

\[
\hat{v}(\ell, n', m') = \left( -\frac{c}{4\pi f_c T_{prf} \cos \theta} \right) \arg(\bar{\phi}(\ell, n', m')),
\]

(2)

where \( c \) is the compressional-wave speed of sound in the medium (1.5 mm/μs) and \( \arg(\phi) \) indicates the phase angle of spatially averaged estimates. High-pass wall filtering is disabled for these acquisitions. \( \hat{v} \) estimates the \( z \)-axis component of particle velocity where we track the sign of \( \arg(\phi) \) to indicate movement toward or away from the transducer.

Time-harmonic shear wave excitation produces a narrow velocity spectrum, which correlates the echo data within each \( M \)-pulse ensemble record. Therefore, we may combine multiple phase lags within the ensemble to improve performance.

B. Lag-k Phase Velocity Estimator

Lag-k estimation of the mean velocity has been investigated by several authors within the weather radar community [19], [20], where it is called poly-pulse-pair processing. This method is able to reduce velocity estimation variance for narrow-band Doppler echoes when the echo SNR is less than 30 dB. The improvement is due to averaging phase estimates whose fluctuations are caused by zero-mean echo-signal noise.
Lag-k estimation is a generalization of (1):

$$\hat{e}[\ell, n, m', k] = \frac{1}{M-k} \sum_{m''=M(m'-1)+1}^{M(m'-1)+M-k} V^*[\ell, n, m'] V[\ell, n, m'' + k],$$

where $P$ correlation estimates are computed within each $M$-pulse record such that $1 \leq k \leq P \leq M$. We spatially average estimates along the $z$-axis and combine the $P = 5$ estimates at a point while eliminating phase-angle ambiguity via

$$\text{arg}(\hat{e}(\ell, n, m', k)) = \frac{1}{10P} \sum_{n''=10(n'-1)+1}^{10(n'-1)+10} \sum_{k=1}^{P} \text{arg}(\hat{e}(\ell, n'', m', k)).$$

(4)

Choosing $P = 1$ reduces (4) to the lag-one autocorrelation estimate. Eq. (4) estimates may be applied to (2) to find $\hat{e}(t)$ (Fig. 1, left plot), and then further processed to estimate the shear-wave phase speed from estimates of spatial phase (Fig. 1, right plot and Fig. 2), as will be shown later.

The maximum detectable particle velocity $v_{\text{max}}$ is limited by the $\pm \pi$ bound on temporal phase. For the lag-one estimate of (1), (2) gives $v_{\text{max}} = c/A_f T_{\text{prf}}$. The disadvantage of the lag-k phase estimate of (4) is the $k$-fold reduction in $v_{\text{max}} = c/A_f T_{\text{prf}} k$.

C. Rheological Models

Like many soft tissues, gelatin gels can be modeled as linear viscoelastic media. Our goal in this section is to relate observed properties of particle displacement waves to the viscoelastic properties that characterize media in which they travel. Beginning with a solution to the wave equation for elastic solids, we extend the result to include the frequency-dependent complex modulus of viscoelastic media. The results depend on the assumed rheological model describing dynamic behavior of the medium. We then show how temporal phase estimates are applied to the estimation of shear-wave phase speed. The wave speed dependence on applied force frequency, that is, dispersion, is used to estimate the complex modulus.

The Navier wave equation for particle displacement vector $\mathbf{u} = (u_x, u_y, u_z)$ [m] in a homogeneous elastic solid is [21],

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}}{\partial t^2} = (\lambda + \mu)\nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \rho \mathbf{f}. \tag{5}$$

$\lambda$ and $\mu$ are Lamé constants [Pa], $\rho$ is the mass density of the medium [kg/m³], and $\mathbf{f} = (f_x, f_y, f_z)$ is the external body force per unit mass of the medium [m/s²]. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z)$ and $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$.

A needle is inserted into the gel along the $z$-axis and vibrated harmonically without slipping at radial frequency $\omega$ along $z$ with force $\mathbf{f} = (0, 0, f_z(x, t))$ where $f_z(x, t) = f_0(r) e^{-ikz}$. That force displaces the needle as $\mathbf{u} = (0, 0, u_z(x, t))$ where $u_z(x, t) = u_0(r) e^{-ikz}$. If the needle length and the medium dimensions are both larger than several wavelengths, we can model the experiment as a source radiating into an infinite homogeneous medium. These shear waves diverge cylindrically from the needle along $r$, and $f_0(r) = C \mu \delta(r)/\pi r$, where $C$ [m] is a dimensionality constant and $\delta(r)/\pi r = \delta(r) = \delta(x)\delta(y)$ is the 2-D Dirac delta. Lacking compressional waves, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$, and (5) reduces to

$$-\omega^2 u_z(x, t) = \frac{\mu}{\rho} \nabla^2 u_z(x, t) + f_0(x, t) - k^2 u_0(r) = \nabla^2 u_0(r) + C \delta(r)/\pi r,$$

(6)

where the elastic shear-wave number $k_s = \sqrt{\rho \omega^2/\mu} = \omega/c_s$ for shear-wave speed $c_s = \sqrt{\mu/\rho}$, and $\nabla^2 = \partial^2/\partial r^2 + (1/r)(\partial/\partial r)$.

We now solve (6) for $u_0$, the $z$ component of particle displacement within the $x, y$ plane. Leveraging polar symmetry, a solution is found by taking the Hankel transform of (6) and solving for $U_0(\xi) = \mathcal{H} u_0(r) = C/(\xi^2 - k_s^2)$. The inverse transform yields the spatial part of displacement [21],

$$u_0(r) = \mathcal{H}^{-1} U_0(\xi) = \frac{i\pi C}{2} H_0^{(1)}(k_s r) \approx \frac{-\pi C^2 (i k_s r)^{\pm 1}}{2k_s r}. \tag{7}$$

The exact solution (first form) includes $H_0^{(1)}$, a zeroth-order Hankel function of the first kind. The approximate solution (second form) includes the asymptotic expansion of $H_0^{(1)}$ for large $k_s r$ [22]. At 50 Hz, $k_s r \approx 3$ at 1 cm from the needle.

Applying the correspondence principle [23], [24], we can extend the above solution for linear elastic solids to include linear viscoelastic solids. To do this, we represent the dynamics of viscoelastic media with a complex shear modulus from the Kelvin-Voigt (KV) rheological model, $\mu = \mu_1 - i \omega \eta$. $\mu_1$ is the elastic shear constant and $\eta$ is the dynamic viscous constant of the KV model. The wave number for viscoelastic media is now complex, $k_s = \sqrt{\rho \omega^2/\mu} = k_s + i \alpha_s$, where $\alpha_s$ is the shear-wave attenuation coefficient. Also, shear speed can vary with frequency for the KV model [25]:

$$c_s(\omega) = \omega/\mathcal{R}(k_s) = \sqrt{2(\mu_1^2 + \omega^2 \eta^2)}/\sqrt{\rho(\mu_1 + \sqrt{\mu_1^2 + \omega^2 \eta^2})}$$

and

$$\alpha_s(\omega) = 3\mathcal{I}(k_s) = \sqrt{2(\mu_1^2 + \omega^2 \eta^2 - \mu_1)} / (-\mu_1 + \sqrt{\mu_1^2 + \omega^2 \eta^2}). \tag{8}$$

Eq. (8) relates $\mu_1$ and $\eta$ to measurements of shear wave dispersion [4] and attenuation. Our next step is to esti-
mate $c_s$ from the spatial phase of harmonic shear wave propagation.

D. Shear Speed From Spatial Phase Gradient

The $z$ component of particle velocity is, from (7),

$$v(x, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} r_0(x) e^{-i\omega t} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi n^2 C^2}{2k_x^2}} e^{i(k_x x - \omega t - \pi/4)}$$

$$= V_0(x) e^{i\psi(x)} e^{i\omega t(x)}.$$  (9)

Because we measure velocity in the $x, z$ plane (Fig. 1), we replace $r$ with $x$. The last form of the complex velocity expression of (9) separates velocity magnitude from the temporal and spatial phase factors. Because $k_x$ is complex, it requires some algebra to show that the spatial phase gradient is

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = \frac{\omega}{c_s(\omega)}.$$  (10)

Thus $c_s$ is estimated from the spatial phase gradient of particle velocity. In practice, phase is sampled along the $x$-axis at a constant interval equal to the transducer array pitch, $X = 0.46$ mm, such that $x|T| = \ell X$.

Let $\psi'$ be the analytic signal of particle velocity estimates from (2). Recall that we compute as many as 500 temporal velocity estimates at each location in the $x|T|, z|n|$ image plane. Beginning with the left-most A-line in Fig. 1, we compute a four-sample running mean in space and average 40 values in time (after the transient wave has dissipated) to form spatial-temporally-averaged estimates,

$$\hat{\psi}[\ell', n'] = \frac{1}{40} \sum_{m=-301}^{300} \sum_{\ell''=\ell'}^{\ell'+3} \sum_{m''=m'}^{m''+1} \hat{\psi}^{\ell''}[\ell'', m''] \hat{\psi}^m + 1, n', m'].$$

(11)

Phase $\bar{\psi}[\ell', n']$ is a function of space via $x[\ell'], z[n']$.

In the Appendix, we show that $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}$ from (10) is approximately $(\arg \bar{\psi})/X$. Similar to that found by Hoyt et al. [5] for crawling wave imaging, the average shear speed is

$$\hat{c}_s(\omega) = \frac{\omega X}{\langle \arg \bar{\psi}(\ell', n') \rangle_{\Omega(\omega)}},$$

(12)

where $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\Omega(\omega)}$ indicates that we further spatially average values over area $\Omega$ near the vibrating needle where the velocity SNR > 20 dB. Area $\Omega$ includes a subset of indices $\ell', n'$ that becomes smaller with $\omega$ because attenuation increases and needle vibration amplitude decreases with frequency. The standard deviation of $c_s(\omega)$ estimates is found using the number of independent samples within $\Omega(\omega)$ as the degrees of freedom. The number of independent samples was estimated from the 2-D autocovariance function for $\bar{v}(x, z)$.

Analogous to the maximum detectable particle velocity in Section II-A, we can estimate the minimum detectable shear-wave velocity from the bound on the spatial phase argument: $|\arg \bar{\psi}| < \pi$. The minimum detectable shear wave velocity from (12) is therefore $\omega X/\pi$.

We close this section by comparing measurements of spatial phase in a gelatin gel with the exact and large-argument approximate predictions in Fig. 3. Clearly the approximation is accurate within measurement error even for $k_x x = 1$.

E. Complex Modulus From Shear Wave Dispersion

Viscoelastic parameters $\mu_1$ and $\eta$ are estimated by comparing modeled and measured data using least-squares fitting techniques: predicted values are obtained from (8) and measured values from (12). Assuming measurement errors are normally distributed $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$, the maximum-likelihood principle suggests that estimates $\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\eta}$ are given by the parameters that minimize the sum of weighted, squared residuals,

$$\min \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left( \frac{\hat{c}_s(\omega_j) - c_s(\omega_j; \mu_1, \eta)}{\sigma_j} \right)^2.$$  (13)

There are $J$ frequencies in the bandwidth at 50-Hz intervals. Minimization was performed using a Levenberg-Marquardt method with precalculated analytical gradients [26].

F. Gelatin Gel Samples

Gelatin samples (250 bloom strength, Type B, Rousselot, Buenos Aires, Argentina) were constructed to test the method. Gelatin powder and distilled water are heated in a water bath at a temperature between 65 and 68°C.
for one hour and periodically stirred. When the sample is cooled to 50°C, formaldehyde is added (0.1% by weight) and thoroughly mixed. We also mixed in cornstarch particles (3% by weight) to introduce random acoustic scatterers. Molten gelatin is poured into cylindrical molds (11.3 cm diameter, 7.5 cm height) and allowed to congeal. Homogenous samples with 4% or 8% w/w gelatin concentrations were tested.

Material properties of the same gelatin gels were tested in a parallel-plate shear rheometer (Model AR-G2, TA Instruments, New Castle, DE) using additional samples. Samples 2.5 cm in diameter and 0.2 to 0.4 cm high were removed from their molds one day after gelation and bonded to the rheometer plates using cyanoacrylate (Rawn America, Spooner, WI). Five percent shear strain was applied. For each of the 4% and 8% gelatin concentrations, five samples were tested and the measured relaxed shear modulus was averaged, giving $\mu_1 = 571 \pm 67$ Pa and $2286 \pm 315$ Pa, respectively. $\eta$ cannot be estimated by this method. Although shear modulus increased quadratically with gelatin concentration, no change was detected with the addition of cornstarch particles.

III. Results

A. Phase Estimator Performance

The performance of the lag-k phase estimator ($P = 5$) compared with lag-1 estimator is known to depend on the echo SNR and the correlation between ensemble echoes, i.e., the relative Doppler spectral bandwidth [19, 20]. To help us decide when to apply each estimator, we simulated an ensemble of RF echo signals in one spatial dimension and time so we could measure velocity variances.

Doppler-pulse echo simulations assumed constant particle velocities in the range gate that varied between 0 and 8 cm/s. This range was observed experimentally in gelatin at 100 Hz needle vibration. We modeled scatterers using a white Gaussian random field scanned by a linear time-invariant pulse-echo system with 6-cycle pulses and other parameters given in Section II-A. Zero-mean, additive, white Gaussian noise was added to echoes to adjust the echo SNR.

Estimator performance was quantified from the errors observed using simulated echo data. If $\text{var}(\hat{v}_1)$ and $\text{var}(\hat{v}_k)$ are measured variances for the lag-1 and lag-k particle velocity estimates ($M = 6$ for both), the percent improvement for the lag-k estimator relative to lag-1 is given by the factor

$$\xi = 100 \left(1 - \frac{\text{var}(\hat{v}_k)}{\text{var}(\hat{v}_1)}\right),$$

which can be positive or negative. The echo simulator was validated by comparing velocity variances measured from simulated data to those predicted [27].

Fig. 4 shows the improvement as a function of the fractional Doppler bandwidth that is normalized by the maximum detectable velocity $2v_{\text{max}}$. This normalized bandwidth is labeled $\sigma_{vn}$ in Fig. 4. At high echo SNR (40 dB), the lag-k estimator provides advantages only for extremely narrow-band Doppler spectra. At 10 dB echo SNR, however, there is a 60% to 70% improvement at all bandwidths. In the 20 to 30 dB range of echo SNR—the range of greatest experimental interest—the advantage is primarily at low bandwidth. Because of long wavelengths, particle velocity in shear-wave imaging is nearly constant within a range gate. Pulse bandwidth, which has the largest effect on Doppler spectral bandwidth, dictates the relative advantage of lag-k estimation over lag-1.

We also estimated $\xi$ for experimental data. Shear wave recordings were repeated 19 times for 4% gelatin concentration at 100, 300, and 400 Hz. The improvement factor is plotted in Fig. 5 as a function of lateral distance from the needle source. We find that, although the echo SNR is constant with $x$, the improvement factor $\xi$ increases with $x$, suggesting the greatest advantage of lag-k estimation is at low particle velocity (low amplitude shear waves). The advantage stems from the reduction in Doppler bandwidth that accompanies lower mean velocity.

B. Modulus Measurements in Gelatin

Measured shear-wave dispersion curves for 4% and 8% gelatin samples are shown in Fig. 6. For both concentrations, measurements of three gel samples are shown along with best-fit dispersion model curves from (8). Values for $\mu_1$ and $\eta$ obtained by minimizing (13) are listed in Table I along with mean values ± standard deviation and rheometer estimates of $\mu_1$. Correlation coefficients of the fit, $r^2$, were computed using the method of Cameron [28] as adapted for our application [29]. The actuator voltage amplitude was 15 V.

Three dispersion measurements and corresponding best-fit model curves are displayed for one 4%-concentra-
tion gelatin sample in Fig. 7. Measurements were acquired for 5, 10, and 15 V mechanical actuator voltage amplitudes that provided three different particle displacements at the needle surface. Particle displacement amplitudes at 50 Hz estimated in gel regions immediately adjacent to the needle gave peak measured displacement amplitudes of \( \mu_{5V} = 86 \mu m, \mu_{10V} = 185 \mu m, \) and \( \mu_{15V} = 255 \mu m. \) Particle displacement amplitudes at 450 Hz were found to be much smaller, \( \mu_{5V} = 0.3 \mu m, \mu_{10V} = 0.7 \mu m, \) and \( \mu_{15V} = 1 \mu m. \) From these data, we estimated \( \mu_1 \) at 5, 10, and 15 V to be, respectively, 476, 482, and 469 Pa. We also estimated \( \eta \) and found values of, respectively, 0.21, 0.21, and 0.18 Pa s. Close agreement among estimates at the three applied strains supports the assumption of linearity in gelatin between 50 and 450 Hz.

IV. DISCUSSION

We compared rheometer measurements to shear-wave estimates of \( \mu_1 \) in the previous section to validate results. Although the two measurements are based on different rheological models, direct comparisons between some parameters are possible [30]. The Maxwell model is often used in the constitutive equation describing shear rheometry. We found a third-order Maxwell model represents rheometer measurements in gelatin [31] with the time-varying shear modulus

\[
G(t) = G_0 + G_1 e^{-t/\tau_1} + G_2 e^{-t/\tau_2} + G_3 e^{-t/\tau_3},
\]

for constants \( G_i \) and \( \tau_i. \) The relaxed modulus of the Maxwell model is \( G_0, \) which we obtain at \( t \gg \tau_{max} \) where \( G(t \)
→ ∞) ≈ G_0. Comparing this result with the complex modulus of the KV model in the frequency domain, μ(ω) = μ_1 − ωτ, it can be shown that G_0 from rheometry is comparable to μ_1 from shear wave imaging. These values may be compared in Table I. Unfortunately, no similar relationship exists between η and Maxwell model parameters. We compared measurements in fresh and damaged liver tissues with those reported by other labs using different techniques, and we found general agreement for c_s(ω) [32]. Inter-lab consistency may help validate viscoelastic measurements in complex-structured tissues.

Rheological models help us parameterize the viscoelastic behavior of materials: the Kelvin-Voigt model describes creep; the Maxwell model describes stress relaxation. Of the two, the Kelvin-Voigt model is thought to be more representative of shear wave propagation through gelatin. However, the Zener model (series connection of an elastic spring and a Kelvin-Voigt unit) is the simplest model that predicts both phenomena in linear viscoelastic polymeric solids [33]. We now summarize its frequency response in the context of our analysis.

The complex modulus that results from the Zener model is given by [33]

\[ μ_Z(ω) = μ_1 \frac{1 + ω^2 τ_στ_ε - iωτ_σ}{1 + ω^2 τ_σ^2} \]

where μ_1 = μ_Z(0) is the relaxed modulus and τ_σ and τ_ε ≥ τ_σ are time constants.

The complex wave number for the Zener model is

\[ k_Z^ω = (ρω^2 / μ_Z)^{1/2} = ω / c_s^Z + iα_s^Z \]

which can be expressed in terms of shear wave speed and attenuation coefficient using

\[ c_s^Z(ω) = \frac{ω / \Re\{k_s^Z\}}{2(\Re\{k_s^Z\})^2 + (\Im\{k_s^Z\})^2} \]

and

\[ α_s^Z(ω) = \frac{\Im\{k_s^Z\}}{2(\Re\{k_s^Z\})^2 + (\Im\{k_s^Z\})^2} \]

K, Z indicates that parameters from either the Kelvin-Voigt or Zener model may be applied.

We estimated parameters of the Zener model for the same gelatin sample data described previously, and we list them in Table II. These may be compared with results from Table I. The small difference between μ_1 results for the KV model in the two tables depends on whether data from three samples were first averaged and then fitted to a model (Table II) or if data from each sample are fitted and μ_1 values averaged (Table I).

Eq. (17) is fitted to the averaged dispersion measurements from 4% and 8% gelatin concentration, and the results are shown in Fig. 8. Averaged measurements are also plotted with standard errors indicated. For both models, the shear speed at low frequency is \( \sqrt{μ_1 / ρ} \), increasing monotonically with ω. However, the Kelvin-Voigt model is unbounded \( c_s(∞) → ∞ \), whereas the Zener model is bounded by \( c_s(∞) → μ_1(τ_ε / τ_σ) \). In the 50 to 450 Hz shear-wave bandwidth, the two models agree within measurement uncertainties, and therefore each represents measurement in gelatin gels equally. Only at higher frequencies do the two models diverge. Frequency characteristics of viscous losses have also been quantified using a quality factor \( Q(ω) = -\Re\{k_s^ω\} / 3(k_s^ω)^2 \) or its inverse \( Q^{-1} \) called the dissipation factor [33]. Relaxation peak of the Zener model is located at \( f_0 = 1/(2πτ_0) \) where \( τ_0 = \sqrt{τ_στ_ε} \) and represents a peak of viscous loses. For the estimated properties for 4% and 8% gelatin estimated relaxation peaks are located at \( f_0^{4%} = 503 \) Hz and \( f_0^{8%} = 481 \) Hz.

V. Conclusion

We have described a method for measuring the complex shear modulus of hydrogel samples. Eventual applications.
of these measurements include the basic-science goal of following changes in the mechanobiology of 3-D cell cultures undergoing malignant cell transformations and tumor development. More detailed knowledge of cellular mechanobiology on the scale of a millimeter is expected to help illuminate the role of elasticity imaging in cancer diagnosis. We generated shear waves by vibrating a thin needle along its long axis. This geometry provided closed-form solutions to the shear wave equation that yielded a Green’s function describing how wave energy propagates and is dissipated in the surrounding medium. Long needles induce extended and predictable fields of shear waves that yield high velocity SNRs for materials characterization below 450 Hz. We image time-harmonic waves under steady-state conditions to use lag-k estimators of phase, thus improving the reliability of shear-wave dispersion measurements for modulus estimation. Our approach lends itself to accurate estimation of the complex shear modulus parameters. Accuracy of the elastic shear modulus estimates was verified through comparisons with the relaxed modulus from parallel-plate rheometry, where agreement was observed. The current method is based on an inversion of the shear-wave dispersion equation. One limitation of this method is that measurements at several frequencies must be obtained to estimate each complex modulus value. Furthermore, material homogeneity and reflectionless boundaries are assumed within the measurement region, which is a reasonable assumption for our proposed applications. Therefore the phase-gradient method is an acceptable method for measuring a modulus from velocity estimates. One alternative approach to needle vibration is to apply an amplitude-modulated acoustic radiation force to a sphere placed in the medium [29], [34], [35]. An oscillating sphere produces shear wave energy that also has known closed-form expressions, and thus permits quantitative mechanical analysis of the medium. However the dipole radiation pattern is more complex and more heterogenous within any Doppler imaging plane. However, radiation force offers the best opportunity for extending the stimulus force frequency above 500 Hz, where clear distinctions among rheological models become more apparent. The displacement amplitude of mechanical actuators mechanically loaded by a needle placed in a viscous gel is significantly reduced at higher frequencies because of the actuator itself or from needle slippage. The SNR for velocity estimates becomes the limiting factor when imaging shear waves above 500 Hz not only because precise force patterns are difficult to generate, but also because of wave divergence and absorption at distances greater than a couple millimeters from the source. We conclude that accurate measurements of the complex shear modulus may be achieved with needle vibration in viscoelastic hydrogels up to 450 Hz.

APPENDIX

This appendix shows that the model for the spatial phase gradient of shear waves described by (10) is related to lateral estimates of spatial phase from (11) by the equation \( \frac{d\psi}{dx} \approx \frac{\arg(\hat{\psi})}{X} \). This discussion follows a derivation by Jensen [17].

The analytic signal for particle velocity as a function of lateral position \( \hat{v}(x) \) is a function of the Hilbert transform of velocity \( \hat{v}_h(x) \), namely:

\[
\hat{v}(x) = \hat{v}(x) + i\hat{v}_h(x) = \sqrt{\hat{v}^2 + \hat{v}_h^2}e^{i\tan^{-1}(\hat{v}_h/\hat{v})} = A(x)e^{i\theta(x)}.
\]

Noting explicitly that \( x \) is sampled and that \( \psi[\ell] \triangleq \hat{\psi}[\ell] \), we have

\[
\Delta \psi[\ell] = \psi[\ell + 1] - \psi[\ell] = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\hat{v}_h[\ell + 1]}{\hat{v}[\ell + 1]}\right) - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\hat{v}_h[\ell]}{\hat{v}[\ell]}\right).
\]

Using the identity

\[
\tan(A - B) = \frac{\tan(A) - \tan(B)}{1 + \tan(A)\tan(B)},
\]

we find

\[
\Delta \psi[\ell] = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\hat{v}_h[\ell + 1]\hat{v}[\ell] - \hat{v}_h[\ell]\hat{v}[\ell + 1]}{\hat{v}[\ell + 1]\hat{v}[\ell] + \hat{v}_h[\ell]\hat{v}_h[\ell + 1]}\right).
\]

Turning to measurements, the kernel of the lag-1 correlation estimate without averaging is

\[
\hat{v}'[\ell]\hat{v}[\ell + 1] = (\hat{v}[\ell] - i\hat{v}_h[\ell])(\hat{v}[\ell + 1] + i\hat{v}_h[\ell + 1]) = (\hat{v}[\ell]\hat{v}_h[\ell + 1] + \hat{v}_h[\ell]\hat{v}[\ell + 1]) + i(\hat{v}[\ell]\hat{v}_h[\ell + 1] - \hat{v}_h[\ell]\hat{v}[\ell + 1]).
\]

Thus, we can write

\[
\arg(\hat{\psi}[\ell]) = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\hat{v}_h[\ell + 1]\hat{v}[\ell] - \hat{v}_h[\ell]\hat{v}[\ell + 1]}{\hat{v}[\ell + 1]\hat{v}[\ell] + \hat{v}_h[\ell]\hat{v}_h[\ell + 1]}\right)
\]

and finally

\[
\frac{d\psi}{dx} \approx \frac{\Delta \psi[\ell]}{X} = \frac{\arg(\hat{\psi}[\ell])}{X}
\]

provided \( X \ll 2\pi c_0/\omega \).
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